notum, constricted medially, very barely transversely rugulose. Slope of the propodeum rather low and even; median line lightly impressed. Anal vein of hind wing meeting the median slightly before the origin of the cubitus; transverse median of forewing meeting the median slightly beyond the origin of the basal vein. Marginal cell short, nearly twice its length from the wingtip, radial vein nearly evenly arcuate. Second submarginal cell larger than the third, 1.25 times as broad as high, narrowed by .4 above. Third submarginal cell .8 times as wide as high, narrowed by .4 above.

Abdomen cylindrical; penultimate visible sternite broadly rectangularly emarginate. Subgenital plate (Fig. 1) very narrow, its margin fringed with hairs; basal palpus-like structures short-haired; basal plate less broad than in jacintoensis, more rounded caudad. Genitalia (Fig. 2) with the parameres rather long, exceeding the volsellae; volsellae with the digitus subspatulate, with a row of hairs at the apex which are shorter than in jacintoensis; parapenials simple, stout; aedeagus equalling the parameres in length, its margins sinuate, not abruptly expanded apically.

Allotype.—Male; Medicine Hat, Alberta, Canada, July 5, 1940 (J. L. Carr) [University of Alberta; type will be deposited in the Canadian National Collection, Ottawa].

NOTES ON NOMENCLATURE IN THE LATHRIDIINI

(COLEOPTERA, LATHRIDIDAE)

BY LUELLA M. WALKLEY, Washington, D. C.

Several nomenclatural problems became evident in the course of the systematic arrangement of the specimens in the Melville H. Hatch collection of Lathridiidae of the State of Washington belonging to genera of the tribe Lathridiini. These are due mainly to the acceptance of invalid type designations or to lack of type designations. Study of the other genera of this tribe and of other tribes in the Lathridiidae may well bring to light further need for clarification of the nomenclature as it now exists in this family.

Since Westwood, in 1840, (p. 14), designated Tenebrio lardarius Deg. as type of Latridius Herbst (later correctly emended to Lathridius), the generic concept thus established has been followed. Westwood's designation, however, is invalid since L. lardarius was not originally included in Lathridius. Latreille's designation of Latridius porcatus Herbst in 1810 (p. 431) is valid; but this species is a synonym of Lathridius minutus (L.) which is the type of Conithassa Thomson, 1859 (p. 93), by monotypy and original designation Conithassa is, then, a synonym of Lathridius Herbst (isogeno-

typic through synonymy). (New synonymy.) Stephostethus LeConte, 1878 (p. 601), type Lathridius liratus Lec., must now be used for Lathridius of authors.

Thomson, in 1859 (p. 93), created the genus Cartodere with Latridius constrictus Gyll, as type. In 1863 (p. 217) he proposed the genus Coninomus, without type, based upon the following species: Lathridius constrictus Gyll., L. limbatus Foerst, as a doubtful synonym of constrictus, and Lathridius carinatus Gyll. Lathridius constrictus Gyll, is now designated as type of Coninomus Thomson, 1863, which is, therefore, an absolute synonym of Cartodere Thomson, 1859.

In 1863 Thomson again discussed Cartodere (p. 219) but ignored completely his earlier treatment of that genus and changed the concept by including the two species Lathridius fliformis Gyll, and Lathridius collaris Mannerh., thus unintentionally forming the basis for a new genus.

MICROGRAMME, new genus

(Cartodere Thomson, 1863, and authors)

Type: Latridius filiformis Gyll., 1827.

This genus includes those species previously placed in *Cartodere* Thomson, 1863. They are of slender, depressed form, rearely exceeding 1.5 millimeters in length. The elytra are usually parallel, the eyes small, the pronotum without costae and the scutellum not evident.

Aridius, published by Motschulsky in 1866 (p. 260), without type designation, included twenty-two species (one provisionally) and was a composite genus. Lathridius nodifer Westwood is herewith designated type of Aridius Mots. Aridionomus Reitter, 1911 (pp. 81, 82) is then an isogenotypic synonym. (New synonymy.)

LITERATURE CITED

Latreille, P. A. Considérations Générales sur l'ordre Naturel des Crustacés, des Arachnides et des Insectes, pp. 9-444, 1810.

LeConte, J. E. Descriptions of New Species, in Hubbard and Schwarz, The Coleoptera of Michigan. Proc. Amer. philos, Soc., vol. 17, pp. 593-669, 1878.

Motschulsky, V. Enumeration les Espèces de Coléoptères Rapportées de ses Voyages. Bul. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou, t. 39, 2nd. pt., pp. [225] 290, 1866.

Reitter, E. Fauna Germanica. vol. 3, pp. 1-436, 1911.

Thomson, C. G. Skandinavieus Coleoptera, t. 1, pp. 1-290, 1859.

Thomson, C. G. Idem, t. 5, pp. 3-340, 1863.

Westwood, J. O. Introduction to the Modern Classification of Insects, vol. 2, Synopsis of the Genera of British Insects, pp. 1-158, 1840.